

MÜHENDİS VE MAKİNA

ENGINEER AND MACHINERY

ISSN 1300-3402
E-ISSN 2667-7520

PUBLICATION ETHICS and MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

As an officially registered member of the “**Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)**”, Engineer and Machinery, accepts only the original works which means the submission should be the product of a new solution approach or should provide a new viewpoint to an existing information. A submitted work must not be published in any other journal in any language and must not be under evaluation in any platform. All the works should be prepared by considering the general ethical guidelines provided by the COPE. Depending on COPE’s recommendations and general publication requirements, Authors, Peer Reviewers, and Editors must adhere to the following international standards:

International Standards for Authors*

- The research being reported should have been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner and should comply with all relevant legislation.
- Researchers should present their results clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification or inappropriate data manipulation.
- Researchers should strive to describe their methods clearly and unambiguously so that their findings can be confirmed by others.
- Researchers should adhere to publication requirements that submitted work is original, is not plagiarised, and has not been published elsewhere.
- Authors should take collective responsibility for submitted and published work.
- The authorship of research publications should accurately reflect individuals’ contributions to the work and its reporting.
- Funding sources and relevant conflicts of interest should be disclosed.

International Standards for Peer Reviewers**

- Peer Reviewers should only agree to review manuscripts for which they have the subject expertise required to carry out a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner
- Peer Reviewers should respect the confidentiality of peer review and not reveal any details of a manuscript or its review, during or after the peer-review process, beyond those that are released by the journal
- Peer Reviewers should not use information obtained during the peer-review process for their own or any other person’s or organization’s advantage, or to disadvantage or discredit others
- Peer Reviewers should declare all potential conflicting interests, seeking advice from the journal if they are unsure whether something constitutes a relevant interest
- Peer Reviewers should not allow their reviews to be influenced by the origins of a manuscript, by the nationality, religious or political beliefs, gender or other characteristics of the authors, or by commercial considerations

- Peer Reviewers should be objective and constructive in their reviews, refraining from being hostile or inflammatory and from making libellous or derogatory personal comments
- Peer Reviewers should acknowledge that peer review is largely a reciprocal endeavour and undertake to carry out their fair share of reviewing and in a timely manner
- Peer Reviewers should provide journals with personal and professional information that is accurate and a true representation of their expertise
- Peer Reviewers should recognize that impersonation of another individual during the review process is considered serious misconduct

International Standards for Editors ***

- Editors are accountable and should take responsibility for everything they publish.
- Editors should make fair and unbiased decisions independent from commercial consideration and ensure a fair and appropriate peer review process.
- Editors should adopt editorial policies that encourage maximum transparency and complete, honest reporting.
- Editors should guard the integrity of the published record by issuing corrections and retractions when needed and pursuing suspected or alleged research and publication misconduct.
- Editors should pursue reviewer and editorial misconduct.
- Editors should critically assess the ethical conduct of studies in humans and animals.
- Peer reviewers and authors should be told what is expected of them.
- Editors should have appropriate policies in place for handling editorial conflicts of interest

References

- * Wager E, Kleinert S (2011). "Responsible Research Publication: International Standards for Authors". A position statement developed at the 2nd World Conference on Research Integrity, Singapore, July 22-24, 2010. Chapter 50 in: Mayer T & Steneck N (Eds) Promoting Research Integrity in a Global Environment. Imperial College Press / World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (pp 309-16). (ISBN 978-981-4340-97-7) [Link]
- ** Homes I (2013). "COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers". (http://publicationethics.org/files/Ethical_guidelines_for_peer_reviewers_0.pdf) [Link]
- *** Kleinert S, Wager E (2011). "Responsible Research Publication: International Standards for Editors". A position statement developed at the 2nd World Conference on Research Integrity, Singapore, July 22-24, 2010. Chapter 51 in: Mayer T & Steneck N (Eds) Promoting Research Integrity in a Global Environment. Imperial College Press / World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (pp 317-28). (ISBN 978-981-4340-97-7) [Link]

